Countywide Action Plan for Clean Water Luzerne County Overview

Plan Highlights

The Luzerne County Countywide Action Plan for Clean Water (Plan) establishes a blueprint to help stakeholders accomplish local clean water goals. This voluntary planning effort, led by the Luzerne Conservation District, gathered the input of local, state, and federal partners to identify priorities and initiatives to benefit water quality within Luzerne County. The initiatives and recommendations outlined within this Plan will benefit local communities while also assisting Pennsylvania in meeting its Chesapeake Bay cleanup goals. This Plan is designed to provide guidance for implementation of voluntary water quality improvements within the local watersheds without proposing additional regulatory or financial burdens on Luzerne County, municipalities, or local residents.

The Luzerne County Plan includes four (4) priority initiatives that outline dozens of tangible and quantifiable goals. These goals may be modified and evolve over time based upon the early successes of plan implementation and updates in local priorities. The Plan is designed to implement these initiatives by 2025 while also extending beyond to serve as a long-term tool to improve local water quality within Luzerne County.

This plan is an adaptive and dynamic document that combines best management practices, data management, programmatic changes, and implementation strategies for local water quality improvements. It will be updated on a regular basis to ensure that the outlined priorities are proving successful in achieving stakeholders' objectives for improved local water quality.

Plan implementation will not only strive to establish water quality improvement projects but will also strive to educate and engage the residents of Luzerne County, increase awareness of the value of local water resources, and update programmatic guidelines to allow for ease of project access and execution. The success of the Plan implementation process will largely be dependent upon the availability of needed funds, trained staff, and resources identified within the Plan, as well as the recommended programmatic changes/updates.

The Plan Steering Committee, which included representatives from the Luzerne Conservation District, Luzerne County, Larson Design Group, and PA DEP's Northeast Regional Office, also wishes to recognize the great ongoing work and successes that the many of the stakeholders within the county have already and will continue to achieve. The various sectors including agriculture, urban and developed stormwater management, watershed health, and wastewater management have made huge strides in improving local water quality; and the dedication of landowners and representatives of many non-profit and governmental entities will continue to improve both water quality and soil health throughout the county. Notably, the local wastewater management sector has continued to make huge strides and have greatly contributed to the nutrient reductions within the county's waterways and assisted in meeting the county's pollutant reduction goals.

Key Findings

The Luzerne County clean water planning process involved many local partners, including non-profits, institutions, and agencies who partnered to achieve a well-rounded plan which benefits water quality throughout the entire county. Luzerne County's considerable population was well represented by stakeholders with interest and expertise in four areas of focus which included Agriculture, Urban and Developed Stormwater, Wastewater, and Watershed Health. These focus groups are reflective of the priority initiative sectors included in the Plan.

During the development process, education and outreach was identified as a significant goal by stakeholders in all focus groups. The Luzerne Conservation District Board of Directors also emphasized the district's ongoing priority of providing technical assistance and encouraging voluntary compliance to address environmental concerns. This emphasis, along with Luzerne County Council's request that the plan not increase the regulatory or financial burden on the residents of the county, is reflected in the voluntary, assistance-oriented nature of this plan.

Another reoccurring concern voiced by stakeholders was the impacts and need for accurate assessment of Abandoned Mine Drainage (AMD) and Abandoned Mine Land (AML) throughout Luzerne County. AMD remediation and AML reclamation resonated as a definite countywide goal throughout the plan development process and will be a focus throughout the implementation of this plan.

Opportunities for Success

Development of this Plan included the identification of county-specific collaborations, priority areas, and resources needed for successful implementation of water quality improvement efforts, while also working to benefit and engage the residents of Luzerne County. Some key factors for success include:

- Collaboration within existing non-profits, institutes, local agencies, and partners
- AMD Coordination with existing groups, such as BAMR
- Coordination with other counties for soil health initiatives
- Collaboration with DAMA and WVSA on attaining further data and reporting knowledge
- Education/outreach for on-lot septic system maintenance and upgrades
- Technical support and resources for reporting and verification of new and existing BMPs

One of Luzerne County's greatest opportunities for success identified through the plan development process is the reporting of previously implemented BMPs within the county. For example, DEP has been determined that wastewater system upgrades and maintenance practices within the county have exceeded the nutrient reduction goals assigned to Luzerne County within the Countywide Action Plan Toolbox Dataset.

Although this is a voluntary plan and no additional practices are required to meet nutrient reduction goals, the planning partners are supporting this plan to continue improving local water quality within the county and provide the benefits to local communities.

Challenges to Implementation

The achievement of many plan initiatives is contingent on receiving additional resources including technical assistance, increased data, funding, and landowner/partner involvement. During the development of the Plan, there has been countywide collaboration on identifying previous accomplishments, areas that would benefit from assistance, and how to complete the identified goals in a measurable way.

The planning partners have identified as a major challenge the uncertainty of whether existing practices and prior efforts have been previously accounted for and received appropriate pollutant reduction credits in state or federal reporting programs. To address the absence of data sharing and non-recorded progress, the Plan includes proposed measures to account for unreported practices and to improve data management.

The target goals of reducing 231,000 lbs. of Nitrogen and 47,000 lbs. of Phosphorus loads entering local waterways are to be met by 2025. Due to the short timeframe of implementation, there are additional hurdles and challenges anticipated or known that include the following:

- BMP funding and resources for implementation
- Lack of developed for long-term BMP verification process and reporting resources
- Public adoption, engagement, and participation of efforts
- Attainability of expensive match criteria for high-cost projects.
- Timeframe for plan implementation to achieve necessary goals
- Enough resources to provide technical assistance, implementation, and reporting
- Education, outreach, and collaboration efforts to achieve adopted goals.



Luzerne County completion of The Lands at Hillside agricultural infrastructure and BMP upgrades

Plan Summary

The implementation of the Plan will center around four (4) priority initiatives: 1) Agriculture, 2) Urban and Developed Stormwater, 3) Wastewater, 4) Watershed Health. Luzerne County Stakeholder groups were established with the purpose of identifying specific efforts to achieve local goals within each Priority Initiative.

The Plan was developed with a focus on establishing a framework for a fluid plan that can be altered and adapted to respond to and reflect the local priorities while actively utilizing new opportunities and resources throughout the implementation timeline.

Priority Initiative 1: Agriculture

- Action 1.1: Nutrient Management Planning
 - Assist producers in obtaining nutrient management planning resources, including access to soil sampling, manure analysis, and agronomy assistance.
- Action 1.2: Reporting and Verification of Existing BMP's
 - Data collection and verification on operations with existing BMP's implemented.
- Action 1.3: Existing BMP Maintenance Program
 - Assist producers with education and resources to implement maintenance activities on existing BMP's to increase functionality.
- Action 1.4: Riparian Buffer and Stream Fencing
 - Implementation of stream fencing and riparian buffer on crop and pasture lands.
- Action 1.5: Manure to Mine Lands Program
 - Utilize excess manure to treat abandoned mine lands to improve available nutrients for vegetative cover.
- Action 1.6: Wetland Creation/Enhancement on Farmlands
 - Create and enhance wetlands on agricultural lands that are less productive or that are located within environmentally sensitive areas.
- Action 1.7: Soil Health Improvement
 - Implementation of soil health practices, including no-till planting, cover cropping, and the use of pollinator species on agricultural lands.
- Action 1.8: Barnyard Agricultural BMP Implementation
 - Continuation of LCD's & NRCS's efforts to stabilize animal feeding and animal walkways and to manage manure on existing operations.

Priority Initiative 2: Urban and Developed Stormwater

- Action 2.1: MS4 Community Partnership Projects
 - Develop partnership with MS4 Communities to assist with sediment and nutrient removal projects.
- Action 2.1a: Collect data on existing/planned PRPs from MS4's Permitees
 - Quantify completed and planned efforts and projects to meet the MS4 permit requirements.
- Action 2.2: Residential Stormwater Management Program
 - Development of an outreach, technical assistance, and cost-share program for implementing water quality improvement BMPs on residential and small commercial properties.
- Action 2.3: Nutrient and Fertilizer Planning for Turf Grass
 - Create an outreach program to encourage and provide guidance to property owners and local governments for the development and implementations of plans for improving nutrient/fertilizer use on turf grass areas.
- Action 2.4: Existing Stormwater BMP Retrofit and Maintenance Program
 - Upgrade and maintain existing basins and drainage systems to improve function.
- Action 2.5: Urban Green Space and Planting
 - Increase Green Space and continuing tree and shrub planting within urban settings.

Priority Initiative 3: Wastewater

- Action 3.1: Private Septic/Sewer Maintenance
 - Development of a program to provide education and incentives for maintenance of residential on-lot septic systems.
- Action 3.2: Reporting of On-Lot Septic BMP's
 - Continue and enhance tracking and accounting for on-lot septic system pumping by WW treatment facilities for proper nutrient reduction credits.

Priority Initiative 4: Watershed Health

- Action 4.1: AMD Treatment for Nutrient Reductions
 - Detailed evaluation of nutrient loads in AMD discharges and development of treatment plans.

- Action 4.2: Stream Restoration
 - Provide bank protection, instream habitat, and riparian buffers on streams in degraded sections of streams in rural and developed areas.
- Action 4.3: Riparian Buffer Development
 - Protect and plant trees along critical stream sections.
- Action 4.4: Farmland and Forestry Preservation
 - Quantify existing preserved open space to ensure proper nutrient reduction credits and preserve additional farmland and natural lands.
- Action 4.5: AML Reclamation Planning and AMD Restoration
 - Restore AML impacted areas, enhance existing and future AML reclamation projects to include additional conservation benefits, and treat AMD discharges to restore water quality.
 - Encourage the use of AMD sludge and biosolids for soil improvement, planting of warm season grasses, and use of forest reclamation approach for improved stormwater management and wildlife habitat.
- Action 4.6: Reporting and Verification of Existing BMP's
 - Collect data on and verify status and operation/maintenance needs of existing, recently completed, and in-progress BMP's.
- Action 4.7: Wetland Enhancement and River Shoreline Improvement
 - Improve and expand wetland habitat and beneficial aquatic plant habitat for improved water quality.

Programmatic Initiative: Recommendations for State Programmatic Changes

- Action 1.1: Change program standards for Chesapeake Bay Program Technician
 - Allow additional conservation districts to participate in the Chesapeake Bay
 Technician Program by restoring the ability for district boards to establish local
 priorities while achieving more water quality benefits within the Chesapeake Bay
 Watershed.
- Action 1.2: Access to DEP, EPA, DCNR, NRCS data on existing project locations and amounts.
 - This effort would improve coordination and assist in identifying areas that need work within the county and improve efficiency and understanding of what is already being recorded and to identify information gaps.

- Action 1.2a: Create a dashboard on Practice Keeper so entities can see other BMP entries from other agencies.
 - Allow all entities the ability to see existing projects and locations that were previously entered by another agency into the Practice Keeper Dashboard.
- Action 1.3: Increase the prevailing wage thresholds for conservation BMP projects, including DGLVR projects.
 - Prevailing wage requirements cause increased project costs and reduce the amount of already-limited funds available for conservation projects.
 - Increasing these thresholds for conservation projects would significantly increase the amount of BMPs implemented.
- Action 1.4: Education and outreach position for water quality efforts to public and municipalities.
 - State funds allocated to conservation districts to provide outreach and education to municipalities and the general public on suburban/urban water quality, stormwater management, and sustainable land use.
- Action 1.5: Dedicated staff from DEP or Conservation Districts to Verify and Report BMPs
 - Dedicated non-regulatory staff member at the district level or state level to verify and report BMPs implemented within the county into Practice Keeper.
- Action 1.6: Reduce Burdensome Requirements for Large Scale Grant Projects.
 - Revise the Growing Greener & DCNR Riparian Buffer grant requirements to remove the obstacle of expensive match criteria for high-cost projects.
 - This will allow for the implementation of more high priority conservation projects.
 - Remove long-term land agreement obligations for the DCNR Riparian Buffer grant program
- Action 1.7: New program to provide cost-share funding for implementation of Agricultural and Residential Stormwater BMPs
 - Gain support from all sectors and pass legislation to provide much needed funding. (e.g. support of PA Senate Bill 465)
- Action 1.8: Streamline Permitting Process for Conservation Related projects
 - Conservation specific permitting that is less rigid with faster turnaround time to approval

	Phase 3 V	Vatershed Imple	mentation P	lan (WIP) Pla	anning and F	Progress Template									
		Green - action ha	s been complete	ed or is moving	forward as plan	nned <u>Yellow</u> - action	has encountere	d minor obstac	:les <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not	been taken or	has encountere	d a serious bar	rier	
Acti on #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		Resources <u>.</u>	<u>Available</u>			Resoul	ces <u>Needed</u>		Review Checklist Comments
							Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source	
Pric	rity Initiative	· 1: Agriculture										Jource		Jource	
1.1	Nutrient Management Planning	Assist producers in obtaining nutrient management planning (including soil samples, manure analysis, and agronomy assistance). BMP's include Nutrient Management Core N, Core P, Timing, Placement, and Rate 20 operations per year	LCD, NRCS, Consultant	Agricultural production lands	2022-2025	Funding and Producer Participation	Producers must hire consultants themselves or receiving a minimal amount of assistance through local agencies	NRCS, Consultants , LCD	EQIP	US Farm Bill	Contracted Source	Private Consultant willing to complete testing and recommenda tions for farmers in the county	Dedicated funding to assist in this program	\$15 per soil sample kit \$40 per manure testing kit \$45,000 per year	
1.2*		Collect data and	DEP, LCD,	County	2021-2025	Time Capacity, Data	BMPs and	SCC, PSU			Dedicated	LCD outreach	Dedicated	State funds	
	Reporting and Verification of Existing BMPs	verify existing BMPs on operations 50 operations per year	NRCS	,		Entry, Release of Records, producer participation and resistance	plans that were funded through agencies and grants are already known	Extension, NRCS, LCD, DEP			staff or contracted staff to compile existing data and complete outreach (e.g., producer survey,	staff member, Luzerne County CAP Coordinator	and sustainable funding to this position	\$80,000 per year for staffing	

records

1.3	Existing BMP Maintenance Program	Assist producers with education and resources for maintenance of existing BMPs to keep them functioning 10 operations per year	LCD, NRCS, PSU Extension	County	2022-2025	Funding and Producer participation	Technical specs of BMPs and guidance for BMP maintenance	NRCS, LCD, PSU Extension, Consultants , SCC			research) and data entry Compile existing BMP designs, O&M specs and guidance, and review with producers	NRCS, LCD (Ag Program. Staff and additional outreach staff member)	Dedicated and sustainable funding for staff time and funding to assist landowners with costly repairs/mai ntenance needs	State & Federal grant funds for O&M \$150,000 per year	
1.4*	Riparian Buffer and Stream Fencing	Implement Stream fencing and riparian buffer on crop and pasture lands 200 acres per year implemented and verified BMP's include forested riparian buffer with exclusion fencing	LCD, NRCS, DEP	Streams located along crop and pasture lands, targeting impaired watersheds	2021-2025	Funding and Producer participation/willing ness to enter into long-term agreements	Developmen t of planting specs, coordinating projects with landowners	LCD, NRCS, DEP, Consultants , SCC	Existing grant programs	CBF K-10, DCNR, DEP Stream Bank Fencing Program, FSA CREP	Additional outreach to landowner & coordinatio n/design of buffer projects	LCD Watershed Specialist, Ag Program Staff, & additional outreach staff member	Dedicated and sustainable funding source for district staff positions & implementa tion of buffer projects	State (DEP, PDA, Growing Greener, CBP block grant) and Federal Funding (EQIP) \$120 per tree (includes tree, planting, maintenance, and survivability warranty) \$4 linear foot for fencing \$250,000 per year	
1.5	Manure to Mine Lands Program	Evaluate availability of excess manure and potential opportunities to treat abandoned mine lands.	EPCAMR, LCD, Earth Conservancy, DEP BAMR	County	2022-2025	Farmer and Landowner Participation, extensive coordination effort, BAMR's modification of	Historic programs	NRCS, EPCAMR	N/A	N/A	Feasibility study, Develop/co ordinate program	EPCAMR, LCD	Dedicated and sustainable funding source for staff position(s)	DEP BAMR funds, DCED Abandoned Mine Drainage and Treatment Program (AMDATP)	

		1 Feasibility study				existing reclamation practices, Availability of excess manure								\$50,000 for feasibility study
1.6*	Wetland Creation/Enha ncement on Farmlands	Create/enhance wetlands on agricultural lands that are less productive or within environmentally sensitive areas. 2 acres per year	LCD, NRCS	County – key environment ally important areas	2022-2025	Landowner Participation, permitting hurdles	Design assistance	LCD, NRCS, Wildlife organizatio ns (e.g. DU), DEP, ACOE	Grants	NFWF	Design assistance, landowner outreach, and project design/per mitting	LCD Watershed Specialist, Ag Program Staff, & additional outreach staff member	Dedicated and sustainable funding source for district staff positions & implementa tion of projects	Local, State, Federal, and private funding \$100,000 per year
1.7	Soil Health Improvement	Implement soil health practices, (including no-till planting, cover crops, use of pollinator species, etc.) on agricultural lands. 500 acres per year, increasing by 25% yearly	LCD, NRCS	Countywide agricultural operations	2022-2025	Funding and Producer participation	Existing soil health technical guidance	NRCS, PSU Extension, LCD	Existing grant programs	NRCS, LCD (Soil Health GG Grant)	Additional outreach to landowner s & coordinatio n of projects	LCD Ag Program Staff & additional outreach staff member	Dedicated and sustainable funding source for district staff positions & implementa tion of projects	State & Federal grant funds \$150,000 per year
1.8*	Barnyard Agricultural BMP Implementatio n	Continuation of LCD's & NRCS's efforts to stabilize animal feeding and travel areas and to manage manure on existing agricultural operations. Complete 5 projects per year in addition to existing NRCS and County project implementations.	LCD, NRCS	Countywide agricultural operations	2022-2025	Funding and Producer participation/financi al capabilities, technical designer availability	Project coordination & design	LCD, NRCS, PACD	Existing grant programs	NRCS, DEP Growing Greener, DEP Section 319 Program	Additional outreach to landowner, coordinatio n of projects, project design	LCD Ag Program Staff & PACD TAG Staff	Dedicated and sustainable funding source for district staff positions & implementa tion of projects	DEP Growing Greener Program, CB Program, PACD/NRCS Funding Agreements, EQIP \$800,000 per year

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.*

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier Description Responsible **Potential Review Checklist** Acti Performance Geographic **Expected Implementation** on# Target(s) Party(ies) Location **Timeline** Comments **Resources Available Resources Needed** and **Challenges or Partnerships** Recommendations **Technical Financial Technical** Suggested **Financial** Suggested Source Source Source Source Priority Initiative 2: Urban and Developed Stormwater WVSA, MS4 Cost of LCD Partner with MS4 2021-2025 DEP. Cost of Municipal Provide Dedicated State & Federal Program grant funds Communities to DAMA, Communities implementation and Guidance, Consultants implemen funds, input and Watershed and municipalitie development of tations other grant identify Specialist & sustainable assist with project \$40,000 per sediment and s, LCD, partnership. design funds possible additional funding year for staffing nutrient removal County cooperativ outreach source for projects. **Planning** e efforts staff district staff MS4 for project member positions & Community implementa Target projects implement Partnership that MS4 ation/enha tion of **Projects** communities are ncement projects implementing that would increase nutrient reductions. MS4 N/A 2.1a Quantify WVSA, 2021-2025 Staffing to collect Knowledge DEP, MS4 N/A Dedicated LCD outreach Dedicated State & Federal and report existing DAMA, of existing staff to staff grant funds completed and Communities Coordinator and municipalitie MS4 permits planned efforts pollution reduction compile sustainable member Collect data \$40,000 per and projects to s, LCD, plans. funding existing on meet MS4 Permit PennDOT data and source for year for staffing existing/plann requirements. complete district staff ed PRPs from outreach position MS4s to MS4 Permittees permittees and data entry LCD, PSU 2022-2025 Lack of staffing, LCD, PSU Dedicated **DEP Growing** Develop an County Existing Virginia Cost of Private Dedicated Extension, funding for funds, staff to Extension and Greener, DEP outreach, example Conservatio managem Residential technical WVSA, materials; develop sustainable CBP, dedicated programs ent state grant Stormwater DAMA Assistance funding funding state funding 2.2 assistance, and resistance to and Management cost-share participation; Program coordinate source for Program

the

program

district staff

positions &

\$100,000 per

maintaining BMPs

program for

implementing

2.3	Nutrient and Fertilizer Planning for Turf Grass	water quality improvement BMPs on residential and small commercial properties. 75 residential properties per year Create outreach program to encourage and provide guidance to property owners and local governments for the development of and implementation of plans and for improving nutrient/fertilizer use on turf grass. 2 programs per year	LCD, PSU Extension	County Turf grass areas	2024	Reporting and Lack of participation	Guidance documents, outreach materials	PSU Extension	Funding for planning	Private funds	Dedicated staff to develop and coordinate the program, Example plans, outreach,	LCD, PSU Extension, Local governments , consultants	Dedicated and sustainable funding source for district staff positions & implementa tion of outreach programs	DEP Growing Greener, DEP CBP, dedicated state funding, Local Grants \$75,000 per year
2.4	Existing Stormwater BMP retrofit and Maintenance Program	Upgrade and maintain existing basins, drainage systems to improve function 10 systems per year	Municipalitie s, WVSA, DAMA, School Districts, landowners, Recreational properties	Existing sites within county	2022-2025	Funding; identifying suitable facilities	Example projects, Guidance documents, Developmen t of planting specs, coordinating projects with landowners	LCD, WVSA, DAMA, consultants	Municipal/ authority funds	Local taxes/fees	Design assistance, landowner outreach, and project design/per mitting, Site data collection;	LCD Watershed Specialist, Consultants	Dedicated and sustainable funding source for staff position(s)/ consultants and design/impl ementation funds	DEP Growing Greener, DCED Flood Mitigation Program DCED Watershed Restoration & Protection Program other state local grants/funds \$400,000

		Increase Green	Municipalitie	Developed	2021-2025	Funding and	Developmen	LCD, PSU	Tree	CBF K10,	Design	LCD, PSU	Dedicated	DEP Growing
		Space and	S,	areas with		Participation	t of planting	Extension	sapling	DCNR C2P2	assistance,	Extension	and	Greener, DCNR
		continue to plant	Landowners,	minimal			specs,		source	Program	landowner		sustainable	C2P2 Program,
		trees and shrubs	LCD, PSU	green space			coordinating				outreach,		funding	CBF K10
	Urban Green	within urban	Extension				projects with				and project		source for	Program, other
2.5	Space and	settings					landowners				design		staff	state local
	Planting												position(s)	grants/funds
		35 acres per year											and	
													design/impl	\$200,000 per
													ementation	year
													funds	

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier Description Responsible **Potential Review Checklist** Action Performance Geographic Expected Target(s) Party(ies) Location **Timeline Implementation** Comments **Resources Available Resources Needed** and **Challenges or Partnerships** Recommendations **Technical Financial Technical** Suggested **Financial** Suggested Source Source Source Source **Priority Initiative 3: Wastewater** 3.1 Private Develop a County 2022-2025 Funding and Septic DEP, PSU Maintenan Private Educationa District Cost Penn VEST County Septic/Sewer program to Planning, private Community **Professionals** Extension ce funds funds I programs Outreach associated Maintenance provide SEOs Participation , Guidance for with \$75,000 per systems and education and **Documents** maintenan education developmen year incentives for t of ce position, maintenance of activities, Contractor, program residential on-lot maintenan septic and public septic systems. pumper outreach, ce and activities 250 systems per maintenanc е <u>year</u> Need for Existing 3.2 Continue and 2022-2025 **Pumping** Private DEP State Funds Wastewater County Septic **Formal** Costs standardized \$40,000 per enhance tracking Treatment program for Profession costs funds tracking associated and accounting Facilities, process for accurate municipalitie als, system with staffing year for staffing for on-lot septic DEP accounting s, pumping Municipalit for BMP reporting system pumping and ies by WW maintenance Reporting of treatment **On-Lot Septic** facilities for **BMPs** proper pollutant reduction credits. 250 systems per year

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.*

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		Resources <u>Av</u>	<u>railable</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>		Review Checklis Commen
							Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source	
riorit	/ Initiative 4	: Watershed	Health												
4.1	AMD Treatment for Nutrient Reductions	Detailed evaluation of nutrient loads in AMD discharges and development of treatment plans. Evaluate 3 sites per year	EPCAMR, BAMR, LCD, Landowners	AMD treatment sites: Mehoopany Creek, Bowman Creek, Fishing Creek, Susquehann a River, Lackawanna River, Delaware River Basin, Nescopeck Creek, and Catawissa Creek Watersheds	2023-2025	High Cost, Landowner participation, complex treatment systems	Existing AMD treatment technologies	Various Organizations & Agencies	Funding for existing treatment reductions	DEP, 319 Grants	Chemical analysis of discharges and specific treatment designs, measured flow data	EPCAMR, universities, BAMR	Funding for research, design, & construction	State & federal grants, private endowments \$250,000 per year	
4.2	Stream Restoration	Provide bank protection, instream habitat and riparian buffers on streams in degraded sections of streams in rural and developed areas.	LCD, municipalities, Trout Unlimited, watershed associations	County impaired streams Mehoopany Creek, Bowman Creek, Fishing Creek, Susquehann a River, Lackawanna	2022-2025	High cost/lack of matching funds, Lack of available land for stream restoration, landowner participation	Standard stream design guidance	LCD Watershed Specialist, PAF&BC, TU, PADEP, State, Rosgen	Existing grant programs (e.g. Growing Greener), Public lands in urban areas (parks, trails, etc.)	Local, county, state agencies	Project coordination, Design/permit ting services	LCD Watershed Specialist, Consultants, Trout Unlimited	Dedicated and sustainable funding source for district staff position & funding for design, permitting, and implementati	Local grants, DEP Growing Greener Program, DEP Stream Improvement Program DCED Watershed Restoration and Protection	

		400 linear feet per year		River, Delaware River Basin, Nescopeck Creek, and Catawissa Creek Watersheds									on of projects	Program (WRPP), DCED Flood Mitigation Program (FMP) \$2,500 per in stream structure \$1,000 per/ft of mudsill \$500,000 per year
4.3	Riparian Buffer Development	Protect and plant trees along critical stream sections. Implement and verify 200 acres per year	LCD, watershed associations, Trout Unlimited	County impaired streams Mehoopany Creek, Bowman Creek, Fishing Creek, Susquehann a River, Lackawanna River, Delaware River Basin, Nescopeck Creek, and Catawissa Creek Watersheds	2022-2025	Landowner cooperation/willin gness to enter into long-term agreements (DCNR program), volunteers for planting, limited availability of certain tree species	Development of planting specs, coordinating projects with landowners, Standard guidance, education/out reach materials	LCD Watershed Specialist,	Existing Grant Programs	CBF K-10, DCNR PADEP CBO	Additional outreach to landowners and volunteers & coordination/design of buffer projects	LCD Watershed Specialist & additional outreach staff member	Dedicated and sustainable funding source for district staff positions & implementati on of buffer projects	State (DEP, PDA, Growing Greener, CBP block grant), endowments, DCED Watershed Restoration and Protection Program (WRPP), DCED Flood Mitigation Program (FMP) \$250,000 per year
4.4	Farmland and Forestry Preservation	Quantify existing preserved open space to ensure	LCD, County Planning & Zoning Department,	County farm and forestlands	2021-2025	Having enough staffing resources to compile, verify, report data, and coordinate	Existing land trust and Farmland Preservation	DCNR, LCD, North Branch Land Trust,	Existing grant programs	DCNR C2P2, Farmland	Additional outreach to landowners and	LCD Ag. Pres. Program Coord. & Additional	Dedicated and sustainable funding	Farmland Preservation Program, USDA ACEP,

	proper pollutant reduction credits and Preserve additional farmland and natural lands. Add 500 acres per year	North Branch Land Trust			additional preservation efforts and Funding to purchase conservation easements	Program resources	Foresters, PA Dept. of Ag.		Pres. Program	coordination of data collection effort and future land preservation projects	outreach staff member and land trust staff members	source for staff positions & additional Funding to purchase additional conservation easements	private land donations, DCNR, PGC \$350,000 per year	
AML Reclamation Planning and AMD Restoration	Restoring AML impacted areas, enhancing existing and future AML reclamation projects to include additional conservation benefits (e.g., use of AMD sludge and biosolids for soil improvement, planting warm season grasses and use of Forest Reclamation Approach for improved SW mgmt. & wildlife habitat, etc.), and treating AMD discharges to restore water quality.	DEP BAMR, EPCAMR, Trout Unlimited, private landowners	Mining- impacted areas of the County Mehoopany Creek, Bowman Creek, Fishing Creek, Susquehann a River, Lackawanna River, Delaware River Basin, Nescopeck Creek, and Catawissa Creek Watersheds	2024+	High Cost, Landowner participation, complex treatment systems	Existing AMD treatment technologies and AML land reclamation standards	Various Organizations & Agencies	Funding for reclamation and restoration	DEP, 319 Grants, BAMR funds	Project coordination, Design/permit ting services	LCD Watershed Specialist, EPCAMR Staff, BAMR Staff, TU Staff, Consultant	Funding for staff positions and to design, permit, implement projects	DCED Abandoned Mine Drainage Abatement and Treatment Program (AMDATP) DEP Growing Greener Program, Act 13 grant funds, 319 Funds \$250,000 per year	

		Evaluate 3 projects per													
	Reporting and Verification of Existing BMPs	Collect data on and verify status (including operation & maintenance needs) of existing,	LCD, partnering organizations, local governments, and state agencies (DEP, DCNR, PGC, & PFBC)	County	2021-2025	Having enough staffing resources to compile, verify, and report data	BMPs and plans that were funded through agencies and grants are already known	LCD, DEP	N/A	N/A	Dedicated staff or contracted staff to compile existing data and do outreach	LCD outreach staff member, Luzerne County CAP Coordinator	Dedicated and sustainable funding to this position	\$80,000 per year for staffing	
4.6*		recently completed, and in-progress BMPs 50 watershed projects per year									(e.g., partner survey, records research) and data entry				
4.7	Wetland Enhancement & River Shoreline Improvement	Improve and expand wetland habitat and beneficial aquatic plant habitat for improved water quality Complete 25 acres per year	LCD, landowners, partnering organizations, and state agencies (DCNR, PGC, & PFBC)	County – key environmen tally important areas	2021-2025	Landowner Participation, funding sources for implementation, permitting hurdles	Design assistance	LCD, Wildlife organizations (e.g. DU), U.S. F&WS	Current enhanceme nt and improveme nt funding	NFWF	Design assistance, landowner outreach, and project design/permit ting	LCD Watershed Specialist & additional outreach staff member	Dedicated and sustainable funding source for district staff positions & implementati on of projects	Local, State, Federal, and private funding \$150,000 per year	

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.*

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges	Potential Recommendations on Improvement		Resources <u>Ne</u>	<u>eeded</u>		Review Checklist Comment
						Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source	
Prog 1.1* (AG 1.4, 1.6, 1.8)	Change program standards for Chesapeake Bay Program Technician	Allow additional conservation districts to participate in the CB Technician Program by restoring the ability for district boards to establish local priorities while achieving more water quality benefits within the CB Watershed.	2023	Potential unwillingness of DEP CBO to revise the program requirements	This program improvement could be accomplished by providing optional levels of participation by conservation districts to receive funding for CB Technicians that would include technical assistance and BMP project coordination without requiring farm inspections or other regulatory aspects of the program.	DEP CBO willingness to allow adjustment to existing program	DEP CBO	N/A	N/A	
1.2	Access to DEP, EPA, DCNR, NRCS data on existing project locations and amounts	This effort would improve coordination and assist in identifying areas that need work within the county and improve efficiency and understanding of what is already being recorded and where gaps exist	2022	Push back from regulatory agencies and release of information.	Remove barriers to sharing knowledge of current and existing projects to capture and plan for areas that still need assistance	Database creation	Agency coordination' LCD Additional outreach staff member	Dedicated and sustainable funding source for staff position	DEP CBO	
1.2a	Create a dashboard on Practice Keeper so different entities can see other BMP entries from other agencies.	Allow all entities the ability to see existing projects and locations that were previously entered by another agency into the Practice Keeper Dashboard.	2022	Release of information	Knowledge of current and existing projects to capture and plan for areas that still need assistance	Dashboard creation	DEP	N/A	N/A	
1.3	Increasing the prevailing wage thresholds for conservation BMP projects, including DGLVR projects.	Prevailing wage requirements cause increased project costs and reduce the amount of already-limited funds available for conservation projects. Increasing the thresholds for conservation projects would significantly increase the amount of BMPs implemented.	2023	Lack of political will to change the prevailing wage legislation, , opposition from labor unions	Address an increase to prevailing wage thresholds for conservation projects separate from the consideration of changes to the thresholds for other publicly funded bricks-and-mortar projects, as was done with certain public transportation projects when Act 89 of 2013 was passed.	Legislative expertise	DEP, PA General Assembly	N/A	N/A	
1.4	Education and outreach position for water quality efforts	State funds allocated to conservation districts to provide outreach and education to	2022	Identifying dedicated funds	DEP Grants Program could modify the current Environmental Education Grants or allocate CBO	Dedicated staff to develop/implement outreach program	LCD	Dedicated and sustainable	DEP	

	to public and municipalities.	municipalities and the general public on suburban/urban water quality, stormwater management, and sustainable land use			funds to provide dedicated funding to conservation districts for such a position.			funding source for staff position		
1.5* (WH 4.6, AG 1.2)	Dedicated non- regulatory staff from DEP or Conservation District to Verify and Report BMPs	Dedicated non-regulatory staff member at district level or state level to verify and report BMP's implemented within the county into Practice Keeper.	2022	Funding to implement position		Staffing	LCD or DEP	Dedicated and sustainable funding source for staff position	State Funds	
1.6	Reduce Burdensome Requirements for Large-scale State Grant Projects	Revise the Growing Greener & DCNR Riparian Buffer grant requirements to remove the obstacle of expensive match requirements for high-cost projects, which would allow for the implementation of more high priority conservation projects. Also remove long-term land agreement obligations on DCNR buffer grant program.	2022	Potential unwillingness of DEP and DCNR to revise the program requirements	These recommendations could be implemented by amending the grant program requirements to reduce or eliminate match requirements for high impact conservation projects or by retaining the match requirements but placing a cap on the amount required (e.g., 15% GG match required up to a maximum of \$20,000) and by removing the 25-year easement requirement for buffer grant projects.	DEP & DCNR willingness to allow adjustment to existing program	DEP & DCNR	N/A	N/A	
1.7* (AG 1.8)	New program to provide cost-share funding for implementation of Agricultural and Residential Stormwater BMPs	Gain support from all sectors and pass legislation to provide much needed funding. (e.g. support of PA Senate Bill 465)	2021	Finding additional funds within the state budget	Support from state environmental agencies	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1.8	Streamline Permitting Process for Conservation Related projects	Conservation specific permitting that is less rigid with faster turnaround time to approval	2021	Defining a Conservation related project and potential resistance for developers	Allowing for quicker permit review on conservation projects to increase implementation during funding timeframe, changes in DEP's current permitting structure. Model permit after BAMR permit.	Review period alterations	Review agencies and DEP	N/A	N/A	

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs The statewide and/or federal policies, regulations, initiatives, programs, funding and resources that will help your county meet its goal.
- 2. **Process** What are the changes that need to occur for the county to be successful in the process? These are the action items listed under each priority recommendation.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes Both short and long-term. These are the programmatic recommendations identified by each county. Performance targets identify your county's needed change in order to meet your county goal.
- 4. **Implementation challenges** Any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Programmatic Recommendation: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "what, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or changes to the current policy and regulation. A programmatic or policy effort will allow for the completion of cation items listed in the Planning and Progress Template.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The performance target details the programmatic change that will enable you to complete the action items identified in the Planning and Progress Template. This can be a further description of the challenge to implementation from the Planning and Progress Template.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the needed completion date for the programmatic recommendation that will assist your county in meeting its goal. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Potential Implementation Challenges = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description). Potential challenges may relate to your county Planning and Progress Template.

Potential Recommendations on Improvement = This field will note recommendations on how to change or improve the program (Description).

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description).

Detailed BMP Entry Form

Luzerne			D	Petailed BIMP Entry Form
				see "Input Info" tab for more information regarding BMP entry
Sector	BMP Name	BMP Quantity Measurement Unit	New or Total Acres	Notes:
Septic	Septic Denitrification-Conventional	50 number of systems	New Acres	Planned - Education and maintenance to individaul systems
Septic	Septic Pumping	1000 number of systems	New Acres	2022-2025 - Septic System pumping, per year
Agriculture	Water Control Structures	10 acres	New Acres	Underground outlets
Ü				2022-2025 - Conservation Plans (24,400 acre Toolbox Recommendation) 25000 acres state
Agriculture	Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans	24,400 acres	New Acres	game lands
7.6	Son Sonservation and viacer Quality Hans	2 1, 100 doi:e5	71017	2022-2025 - Nutrient Management Core N Manure Acres (20400 acre Toolbox
Agriculture	Nutrient Management Core N	10200 acres	Total Acres	Recommendation) per year
Agriculture	Nutrient Management core N	10200 acres	Total Acres	2022-2025 - Nutrient Management Core P Manure Acres (7,000 acre Toolbox
Agriculturo	Nutrient Management Core P	3500 acres	Total Acres	Recommendation) per year
Agriculture	_	2850 acres	Total Acres	
Agriculture	Nutrient Management R Rate	2850 acres		2022-2025 Nutrient Management (5700 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Agriculture	Nutrient Management P Rate		Total Acres	2022-2025 Nutrient Management (5700 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Agriculture	Nutrient Management N Placement	3550 acres	Total Acres	2022-2025 Nutrient Management (7100 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Agriculture	Nutrient Management P Placement	2850 acres	Total Acres	2022-2025 Nutrient Management (5700 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Agriculture	Nutrient Management N Timing	3950 acres	Total Acres	2022-2025 Nutrient Management (7900 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Agriculture	Nutrient Management P Timing	2850 acres	Total Acres	2022-2025 Nutrient Management (5700 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
				2022-2025 - Barnyard Runoff Control (5 acre Toolbox Recommendation) Runoff controls,
Agriculture	Barnyard Runoff Control	21 acres	New Acres	raingutters, and Waste Storage Facilities
Agriculture	Tillage Management-Continuous High Residue	13300 acres	New Acres	2022-2025 - High Residue Tillage (12,200 acre Toolbox Recommendation Per Year)per year
Agriculture	Tillage Management-Conservation	5000 acres	New Acres	2022-2025 - Conservatiopn Tillage (4,600 acre Toolbox Recommendation per year)per year
Agriculture	Cover Crop Traditional Wheat Late Other	3200 acres	New Acres	2022-2025 - Traditional Cover Crop (2,800 acre Toolbox Recommendation per year) per year
				2022-2025 Cover Crops with Fall Nutrients (7,600 acre Toolbox Recommendation per year)
Agriculture	Cover Crop Commodity Normal	3600 acres	New Acres	per year
Agriculture	Cover Crop Traditional Annual Ryegrass Normal Drilled	10 acres	Total Acres	10 acres implemented
Agriculture	Cover Crop Traditional Annual Ryegrass Normal Drilled	50 acres	New Acres	50 Acres Planned
7.6		33 33.55		2022-2025 - Prescribed Grazing (1,300 acres Toolbox Recommendation), Forage Harvest
Agriculture	Precision Intensive Rotational/Prescribed Grazing	600 acres	Total Acres	Management
Agriculture	Precision Intensive Rotational/Prescribed Grazing	70 acres	New Acres	Prescribed Grazing
Agriculture	Forest Buffer	410 acres	Total Acres	Implemented Individual Tree/shrub plantings. 100 tree/per acre, CREP
_	Forest Buffer			2022-2025 - Forest Riparian Buffers (1,200 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Agriculture		600 acres	New Acres	·
Agriculture	Grass Buffer	10 acres	New Acres	2022-2025 - Grass Riparian Buffers (755 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Agriculture	Grass Buffer	50 acres	Total Acres	2 Grassed Waterways designed, 1 implemented.
A II	Level Belline model to An Over Server	200	TalalAssa	2022 2025 - Face level Conservation (2020 and Table 19 Programmed attached by the level of behilder
Agriculture	Land Retirement to Ag Open Space	800 acres	Total Acres	2022-2025 - Farmland Conservation (800 acre Toolbox Recommendation), pollinator habitat
				CNMP-Written, brush mngmt, 7 Total Diversions Designed, 5 were implemented, Early
				Successional Habitat Development/Management, strip cropping, crop rotation, contour
Agriculture	Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans	2550 acres	Total Acres	farming
Agriculture	Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans	1600 acres	New Acres	Planned CTA, CNMP, E&S Plans, subsurface drains
Agriculture	Tree Planting	5 acres	New Acres	Tree/shrub establishment
Agriculture	Off Stream Watering Without Fencing	105 acres	New Acres	Over two farms, 12 watering facilities will be installed, water well
	Dirt & Gravel Road Erosion & Sediment Control - Driving			
Developed	Surface Aggregate + Raising the Roadbed	25350 feet	Total Acres	Improved road base, suface material other erosion controls, implemented
	Dirt & Gravel Road Erosion & Sediment Control - Driving			
Developed	Surface Aggregate + Raising the Roadbed	21600 feet	New Acres	Improved road base, suface material other erosion controls, planned
Developed	Tree Planting - Canopy	50 acres	New Acres	2022-2025 - MS4 Riparian Forest Buffers (50 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Developed	Tree Planting - Canopy	25 acres	New Acres	2022-2025 - Non-MS4 Forest Buffers (75 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Developed	Tree Planting - Canopy	3 acres	New Acres	2022-2025 - Urban Tree Canopy (3 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Developed	Conservation Landscaping Practices	150 acres	New Acres	2022-2025 - Conservation Landscaping (275 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
•		10 acres		
Developed	Filter Strip Runoff Reduction		New Acres	Planned Riparian/Bank restoration
Developed	Advanced Sweeping Technology - 1 pass/8 weeks	550.96 miles	New Acres	2022-2025 - Road sweeping per year
Developed	Stormwater Performance Standard-Stormwater Treatment	12498.28 acres	New Acres	Basin Retrofit drainage
Developed	Impervious Surface Reduction	20 acres	New Acres	2022-2025 - Impervious Surface Reduction (20 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Developed	Nutrient Management Plan	3400 acres	New Acres	2022-2025 - Urban Nutrient Management (3,400 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Animals	Animal Waste Management System	1000 animal units	New Acres	2022-2025 - Manure Storage Facilities (2,300 AU Toolbox Recommendation)
				2022-2025 - Manure Transport out of Luzerne County (290 Dry Ton Toolbox
Manure	Manure Transport	145 dry tons	New Acres	Recommendation) per year
Natural	Forest Harvesting Practices	750 acres	Total Acres	2022-2025 - Forest Conservation (4,200 acre Toolbox Recommendation) per year
Natural	Wetland Enhancement	100 acres	Total Acres	2022-2025 - Wetland Conservation (300 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
				2022-2025 - Non-urban Stream Restoration (10,900 LF Toolbox Recommendation)
Natural	Non Urban Stream Restoration	1500 feet	New Acres	Streambank stabilization
Natural	Wetland Rehabilitation	10 acres	New Acres	2022-2025 - Wetland Restoration (50 acre Toolbox Recommendation)
Natural	Urban Stream Restoration	21795 feet	Total Acres	Stream Bank Restoration
Natural		100		AML
		100		-